

Remarks/Arguments:

The applicant would like to thank the examiner for the telephonic interview on April 14, 2009, in which the claims and the prior art were discussed.

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed March 17, 2009.

Claims 22-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohtake, et al. (InfoStick: An interaction device for Inter-Appliance Computing) and in further view of Armstrong (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0000971).

Claims 22 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodriguez et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0049978) and further in view of Armstrong.

Claims 22 and 31 have been amended to add the feature that “the information from six-degrees-of-freedom sensor on the handheld controller is used to calculate a ray coincident with the handheld controller; and wherein the source and sink devices are associated with respective control windows and the determination of a source or sink device is done by calculating whether the calculated ray would intersect one of the control windows”. This feature is not shown or made obvious by the cited prior art.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 27, 2009

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/
Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

Customer No. 23910
FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800